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## Overview

- SPARQL and Basic Graph Pattern
- The optimization problem
- Estimate selectivities
- The ARQ optimizer
- BGP abstraction
- Heuristics
- The optimization algorithm
- Evaluation


## SPARQL and BGP

```
PREFIX rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
PREFIX ub: <http://www.lehigh.edu/~zhp2/2004/0401/univ-bench.ow|#>
SELECT ?X ?Y ?Z
WHERE {
```

```
?X rdf:type ub:GraduateStudent .
```

?X rdf:type ub:GraduateStudent .
?Y rdf:type ub:University
?Y rdf:type ub:University
?Z rdf:type ub:Department.
?Z rdf:type ub:Department.
?X ub:memberOf ?Z .
?X ub:memberOf ?Z .
?Z ub:subOrganizationOf ?Y
?Z ub:subOrganizationOf ?Y
?X ub:undergraduateDegreeFrom ?Y
?X ub:undergraduateDegreeFrom ?Y
}

```
- BGP: Set of triple patterns
- Fundamental in SPARQL as they define the access to the RDF graph

\section*{The Optimization Problem}
- What is the best EP for the following BGP?
```

?x rdf:type uv:Person .
?x uv:hasSocialSecurityNumber "555-05-7880"

```
- Data of the university domain
- Staff members, professors, graduates, undergraduates: all of type Person
- OWL schema states that,
- Social security number property is inverse functional, i.e. object uniquely determines subject
- Class Person is domain of the property
- Optimization: Join order, more selective first
- Rearrange the triple patterns
- Drop the first pattern, provided the data is consistent
- We focus on main memory (native) graph implementations (RDBMS is a different story!)

\section*{Estimate Selectivities}
- Summary of statistics about RDF data
- Total number of triples
- Total number of resources
- Total number of triples per predicate
- Histogram distribution of objects
- Result set sizes of joined patterns: [?x P1 ?y] and [?x P2 ?z]
- Framework for the selectivity estimation
- Selectivity for a triple pattern
- Selectivity for a joined triple pattern

\section*{The ARQ Optimizer I}
- BGP abstraction

1 ?X rdf:type ub:GraduateStudent .
2 ?Y rdf:type ub:University .
3 ?Z rdf:type ub:Department.
4 ?X ub:memberOf ?Z.
5 ?Z ub:subOrganizationOf ?Y .
6 ?X ub:undergraduateDegreeFrom ?Y

- BGP is a set \(G\) of undirected connected graphs \(\mathbf{g}=(N, E)\)
- The graphs \(\mathbf{g}\) have different semantics to an RDF graph!
- \(N\) is a set of triple patterns (nodes of \(\mathbf{g}\) )
- \(E\) is a set of joined triple patterns (edges of \(\mathbf{g}\) )
- Triple patterns are joined if they share a common bound or unbound component (subject, predicate, object)

\section*{The ARQ Optimizer II}
- Heuristics
- Estimate the selectivity of (joined) triple patterns
- Heuristics without pre-computed statistics
- Variable counting (and variations), Jena graph statistics
- Heuristics with pre-computed statistics
- Build on selectivity estimation framework


Weighted connected graph.
The result set sizes of (joined) triple patterns. Heuristics compute selectivities, i.e. normalized sizes.

\section*{The ARQ Optimizer III}
- The optimization algorithm
- Select edge with lowest estimated selectivity, mark the nodes as visited
- Add the nodes to EP, more selective first
- Iteratively select the edge with
- Lowest estimated selectivity: Minimum
\(3 \quad 15\)

selectivity approach
- Visited node: Avoid Cartesian products as intermediate result sets by selecting triple patterns (nodes) which join with previous EP

\section*{Execution Plan as DAG}

\section*{Original BGP}

1 ?X rdf:type ub:GraduateStudent .
2 ?Y rdf:type ub:University .
3 ?Z rdf:type ub:Department.
4 ?X ub:memberOf ?Z.
5 ?Z ub:subOrganizationOf ?Y.
6 ?X ub:undergraduateDegreeFrom ?Y


\section*{Optimized BGP}

5 ?Z ub:subOrganizationOf ?Y .
6 ?X ub:undergraduateDegreeFrom ?Y
3 ?Z rdf:type ub:Department.
2 ?Y rdf:type ub:University
1 ?X rdf:type ub:GraduateStudent .
4 ?X ub:memberOf ?Z.


Abstracted EP as DAG for the optimized BGP
(1 source nodes
for all adjacent arcs, no Cartesian products)

\section*{Evaluation I}
- On Lehigh University Benchmark, one university and OWL-DL entailment, 156,407 triples
- AMD Opteron dual core with 8 GB main memory
- Query performance


\section*{Evaluation II}
- Execution plan space for the LUBM query 2


\author{
PFJ: \#6 \\ PF, PFN: \#94 \\ VCP: \#222 \\ VC: \#647 \\ GSH: \#654 \\ OFF: \#672
}

Best: 1.87 ms PFJ: 1.94 ms
Worst: 1,532,992.16 ms

Execution plan space for the LUBM query 2

\section*{Evaluation III}
- The normalized distance from the best performing EP for each heuristic averaged over the 14 (-1) LUBM queries


OFF: 0.68
VC: 0.48
VCP: 0.42
GSH: 0.10
PF: 0.09
PFN: 0.04
PFJ: 0.02

\section*{Conclusions \& Limitations}
- Optimization of BGP for main memory graph implementations
- ARQ optimizer with heuristics
- Framework for the selectivity estimation of (joined) triple patterns
- Main memory has an important limitation: Scaling. Nevertheless, study of SPARQL optimization for native graph implementations is important for efficient query evaluation on the Semantic Web (will RDBMS technology survive the graph oriented Semantic Web?).

\section*{Future Work}
- SPARQL syntax
- FILTER
- OPTIONAL
- UNION
- Typed histograms
- Selectivity of ?age <= 30
- Distributed in-memory graph models

\section*{Questions?}
- Any questions?
- Thank you for your attention!```

